

United States Patent and Trademark Office

B

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,104	12/29/2000	Samuel N. Zellner	BS00-027	6281	
36192	7590 04/28/2006	EXAMINER		INER	
CANTOR COLBURN LLP - BELLSOUTH			SHERKAT,	SHERKAT, AREZOO	
	ROAD SOUTH LD, CT 06002		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2131		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/750,104	ZELLNER ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Arezoo Sherkat	2131			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 31-42,44 and 45 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 31-42,44 and 45 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a construction and one of the drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to be	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
		ammer. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/750,104 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is responsive to Applicant's amendment received on 2/15/2006. Claims 31, 36, and 41 have been amended. Claims 31-42, 44, and 45 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/15/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Amini fails to teach establishing a communication session over apublic network including at least one of public switched telephone network or a wireless communication link (Remarks, page 6).

Examiner responds that Amini discloses that communication between client workstation 322 and off-site server 332 is operative over public Internet (col. 5, lines 1-53).

Applicant argues that Vaios does not teach or suggest VOIP (Remarks, page 7). Examiner responds that Vaios discloses, "When the motion sensor detects an obstruction the camera starts recording and the local computer system notifies a remote individual of the alarm via a communications device, such as a beeper, telephone, or e-mail" (Abstract). Vaios further discloses sending voice data in packets using IP (col. 8, line s59-67 and col. 9, lines 1-10).

3. Examiner respectfully maintains the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) and the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections communicated on Nov. 7, 2005 as follows:

Art Unit: 2131

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 31-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Amini et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,698,021 and Amini hereinafter).

Regarding claim 31, Amini discloses a system for enabling an outside entity to control device: at a location, the system comprising:

- (a) an internal computer system associated with the location (Col. 5, lines 39-53);
- (b) a sensing apparatus associated with the internal computer system, wherein the sensing apparatus can detect a triggering event at the location (Col. 9, lines 35-65);

(c) a firewall in communication with the internal computer system, wherein the firewall is adapted to verify identity information associated with the outside entity (Col. 4, lines 55-67 and Col. 5, lines 1-25, and Col. 6, lines 13-33), and

(d) a device associated with the internal computer system, wherein the device can be controlled by the outside entity via the internal computer system (Col. 5, lines 30-60), wherein when the sensing apparatus detects the triggering event the internal computer system establishes a communication session with the outside entity via public communications network, in direct communication with the location, the public communications network including at least one of a public switched telephone network and a wireless communication link (i.e., communication between client workstation 322 and off-site server 332 is operative over public Internet 350)(Col. 8, lines 59-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-35),

wherein the outside entity provides identity information to the internal computer system, wherein the firewall creates a secured tunnel for the outside entity to access the internal computer system (i.e., private network 340 is a private backbone network that may be controlled by the service provider that controls the operation of off-site storage site 330), wherein the outside entity uses the information retreived from a database to control the device during the communication session (Col. 7, lines 5-67 and Col. 8, lines 1-20), and

wherein only the outside entity can terminate the communication session (Col. 9, lines 1-35).

Regarding claims 32 and 37, Amini discloses wherein the identity information comprises a password (Col. 6, lines 12-34).

Regarding claims 33, 38, 40, and 42, Amini discloses wherein the identity information comprises a digital certificate (Col. 6, lines 12-34).

Regarding claims 34, 39, and 44, Amini discloses wherein the digital certificate is authenticated by a certificate authority (Col. 6, lines 12-34).

Regarding claim 35, Amini discloses wherein the external computer network (i.e., publica network) is the Internet (Col. 6, lines 12-34).

Regarding claim 36, Amini discloses a method for encabling an outside entity to control devices at a location, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) associating at least one device with an internal computer system at the location (Col. 5, lines 39-53);
- b) reporting a triggering event associated with the location to the outside entity via public communications network, in direct communication with the location, the public communications network including at least one of a public switched telephone network and a wireless communication link (i.e., communication between client workstation 322 and off-site server 332 is operative over public Internet 350)(Col. 8, lines 59-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-65);

Art Unit: 2131

- c) initiating a communication session between the internal computer system and the outside entity through a secure tunnel over an external computer network wherein the communication session is initiated by the internal computer network (Col. 6, lines 12-34);
- d) verifying identity information provided by the outsite entity (Col. 6, lines 12-34); and
- e) allowing the outside entity to control the device during the communication session (Col. 15, lines 30-67 and Col. 16, lines 1-67), wherein only the outside entity can terminate the communication session (Col. 9, lines 1-35).

Regarding claim 41, Amini discloses a method for enabling an outside entity to handle a situation at a location, the method comprising the steps of:

- a) associating at least one device with an internal computer system at the location (Col. 5, lines 39-53);
- b) reporting a triggering event associated with the situation at the location to the outside entity via public communications network, in direct communication with the location, the public communications network including at least one of a public switched telephone network and a wireless communication link (i.e., communication between client workstation 322 and off-site server 332 is operative over public Internet 350)(Col. 8, lines 59-67 and Col. 9, lines 1-65);
- c) initiating a communication session beween the internal computer system and the outside entity through an external computer network (Col. 6, lines 12-34);

Application/Control Number: 09/750,104 Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

d) providing a first identity information associated with the internal computer system to the outside entity, e) and providing a second identity information associated with the outside entity to the internal computer system, f) authenticating both the first identity information and the second identity information, (g) establishing a secure tunnel through a firewall associated with the internal computer system if both the first identity information and the second identity information are authenticated (i.e., private network 340 is a private backbone network that may be controlled by the service provider that controls the operation of off-site storage site 330)(Col. 6, lines 12-34); and

h) allowing the outside entity to control the device during the communication session (Col. 15, lines 30-67 and Col. 16, lines 1-67), wherein only the outside entity can terminate the communication session (Col. 9, lines 1-35).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 45 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Amini et al., (U.S. Patent No. 6,698,021 and Amini hereinafter), in view of Vaios, (U.S. Patent No. 6,271,752).

Application/Control Number: 09/750,104

Art Unit: 2131

Regarding claim 45, Amini does not expressly disclose wherein the triggering event is a call from a voice-over-Internet-protocol (VOIP) device.

However, Vaios discloses wherein the triggering event is a call from a voice-over-Internet-protocol (VOIP) device (Col. 8, lines 1-65 and Col. 9, lines 1-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify teachings of Amini with teachings of Vaios because it would allow to include wherein a person may be called to control video camera stationed at the remote called party's location as disclosed by Vaios. This modification would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the suggestion of Vaios to provide surveillance access when needed, rather than monitoring the area full time (Vaios, Col. 1, lines 60-67).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2131

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arezoo Sherkat whose telephone number is (571) 272-3796. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on (571) 272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.S.

Patent Examiner Group 2131

A. Shella

April 25, 2006

CHRISTOPHER REVAK

0 Dl 4/26/00